LAND EXCHANGE FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

Emerald Mountain Proposed Land Exchange, COC-66879

BACKGROUND

In 1998, the Emerald Mountain Partnership (EMP) formed to represent the City of Steamboat Springs and the communities of Routt County, Colorado, in their desire to protect from development an approximately 6,347-acre parcel of state land located adjacent to the City of Steamboat Springs known as Emerald Mountain. The EMP began working with the Colorado State Board of Land Commissioners (SLB) to identify a process in which the SLB could dispose of Emerald Mountain, generating funds to satisfy its fiduciary trust obligations, while still meeting the communities' conservation goals.

In 1999, after a public participation process, the SLB entered into a Memorandum of Agreement with the EMP that gave the EMP five years to come up with a funding strategy to purchase Emerald Mountain from the SLB for its appraised value, at that time \$17.2 million. The EMP surveyed Steamboat Springs and Routt County communities and confirmed that Emerald Mountain is considered an invaluable asset to these communities. Still, given the high value of the property, the EMP believed the voters would not support a tax or other fees sufficient to purchase the property. Therefore, the Partnership began seeking other alternatives to finance the purchase.

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Little Snake Field Office recognized this situation as an opportunity for a land exchange that would meet the EMP's goals while consolidating BLM's fragmented land ownership pattern in Routt County. The BLM then identified for the EMP parcels of federal land in Routt County that potentially could be conveyed to private parties in a three-way exchange with the SLB. The EMP would assist in the exchange by working with a third-party facilitator to identify interested private participants so at the time the exchange is completed, the following goals would be accomplished:

- The State of Colorado would receive the appraised value of Emerald Mountain;
- The BLM would own and manage Emerald Mountain and will have consolidated much of its scattered ownership pattern in Routt County; and
- The City of Steamboat Springs and Routt County communities will have met their goals for protection of Emerald Mountain.

Western Land Group, Inc., (WLG) has been endorsed by the EMP to assemble the group of private parties that would participate in the three-way exchange. WLG is serving as a third party facilitator for the exchange.

1.0 EXCHANGE PROPOSAL

The land exchange would occur as follows: The group of private participants represented by WLG will deposit with the State Treasurer the appraised value of the State School Trust land and minerals, referred to as the offered non-federal land and described in Exhibit A. Through the State's "land for

land exchange process" the SLB will use these funds for the acquisition of suitable replacement property within the State of Colorado. The SLB will convey to BLM the offered non-federal land. BLM will convey to the SLB and WLG's designated private participants an equal valued portion of the federal lands and minerals referred to as the selected federal lands and described in Exhibit B.

The non-federal and federal lands are located in Routt County, Colorado, except for portions of one federal parcel which extend into Moffat County, Colorado. The offered non-federal land is described in Exhibit A and consists of approximately 6,347 acres. The selected federal lands are described in Exhibit B and consist of 15,224.36 acres. Mineral estates of the federal and non-federal lands will be exchanged to the extent possible, subject to findings and recommendations in the mineral report.

2.0 LAND USE PLANNING AND RESOURCE VALUES

2.1 Federal Lands

Land exchanges are BLM's preferred method for accomplishing land tenure adjustments identified in land use plans, unless conditions warrant using the authority of the Federal Land Transaction Facilitation Act (FLTFA). BLM's analysis of this proposed exchange indicates there is not sufficient competitive interest in the federal land parcels to justify a competitive sale through FLTFA. In the few cases where there is competitive interest, the interested parties have reached agreement on division of the federal parcel. Offering these few parcels for competitive sale is not likely to generate interest from additional parties. Emerald Mountain has been documented as an exceptional natural and recreational resource in Routt County, and there is a compelling need for the federal government to acquire the property in order to maintain this valuable resource for the benefit of the public. However, it does not meet the FLTFA definition of an inholding within a federally designated area. Therefore, BLM has determined that a land exchange is the most appropriate mechanism to accomplish the RMP's land tenure adjustment goals.

The proposed land exchange would be consistent with the planning goals set forth in the Little Snake Resource Management Plan (RMP) Record of Decision issued by BLM in June 1989. In the RMP, most of Routt County was defined as a "retention" zone in which the existing land base is to be managed under multiple use concepts. The RMP provided, however, that within this zone, "all land tenure adjustment actions (including recreation and public purposes [R&PP] actions and exchanges), except sales under Section 203 of FLPMA, will be considered on a case-by-case basis, if the public interest would be served" (page 29). The RMP also specifically identified 6,670 acres of federal land in a "disposal" zone which provides for disposal land tenure adjustments on those lands that meet the criteria for disposal under applicable authority. The land exchange proposal includes lands located in both zones. Based on planning goals established in the RMP, BLM determined that disposing of the selected federal lands by exchange rather than by sale under the Federal Lands Transaction Facilitation Act will provide greater public benefits and that the exchange proposal has merit.

The selected federal lands are adjacent to and intermingled with privately owned lands. EMP developed guidelines for identifying potential exchange participants based on ownership contiguity to the federal parcels. Landowners who surround a BLM parcel or have more than 50 percent contiguity are often the current BLM grazing lessee, and these owners were given first priority to

participate in the exchange. If that party was not interested or where there was 50 percent or less contiguity and multiple adjacent owners, every effort was made to have the interested parties resolve the situation with their neighbors. In the few cases where there might be competitive market interest, participants have reached agreement on division of the federal parcel between the interested parties. Of the 14,392 acres of selected federal lands currently leased by BLM for grazing, only 1,635 acres are proposed for exchange to someone other than the current lessee.

Exhibit C of the Feasibility Report contains specific information on each federal parcel and includes the following: Federal parcel number; proposed participant who would receive patent to the parcel (WLG is acting on behalf of private parties and intends to designate these parties as patentees if the exchange is approved); legal description and acreage; Emerald Mountain Partnership classification; whether parcel is specifically designated for disposal in the Little Snake Resource Area Management Plan (Appendix 3); encumbrances shown by BLM Master Title Plats and LR2000; grazing allotment number and lessee; Colorado Natural Heritage Program Lynx Habitat; public access (whether the parcel is directly accessible by public road or by foot or horseback from adjacent public land); and maps (BLM Master Title Plat, 2003 Routt County Assessor map, USGS topo map).

There are known coal and potential oil and gas resources in some areas of Routt County. A mineral report is being prepared which will determine whether minerals should be reserved to the United States on the selected federal lands. There are no unpatented mining claims on any of the federal parcels. Any federal mineral reservation will be subject to valid existing encumbrances of record.

Wildlife values for the BLM lands include habitat for big game (elk, deer, and antelope), small game, and birds. Endangered or threatened species habitat that may be found on the selected federal lands includes habitat for lynx, sage grouse, and sharp tailed grouse. The Colorado Division of Wildlife (DOW) has identified wildlife concerns on selected federal Parcel 33 which provides an elk migration corridor, greater sage grouse overall range and brood areas, and Columbian sharp-tailed grouse overall range and winter range. DOW also expressed concern about the loss of public access to federal Parcels 16, 98, 107, and 109 for hunting. Four hunting outfitters would lose access to small portions of public lands they currently use. Outfitters may be able to obtain private leases to these areas after the exchange or obtain Special Recreation Permits to additional public land areas including Emerald Mountain.

Twelve of the selected federal parcels have already been inventoried for cultural resources: Parcels 14, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 67, 69, 70, 71, 84, and 90. No further cultural resources work will be required on these parcels. Both a Class I records review and a Class III cultural resources survey and subsequent reports will be prepared by a private contractor for all the other federal parcels for BLM's and the State Historic Preservation Office's review and approval. Appropriate mitigation will be implemented as required. Project specific surveys will be conducted as needed.

There are no Areas of Critical Environmental Concern, Wilderness/Wilderness Study Areas, or Wild and Scenic Rivers on the federal lands to be exchanged. Current Visual Resource Management (VRM) classifications range from Class II (minor landscape changes allowed) to Class IV (major landscape changes allowed).

The EA will include analysis of current revenues generated from grazing fees on selected federal lands and offered land; whether the exchange and a management plan may create potential for BLM recreation fee revenues; and whether greater public access to Emerald Mountain could result in increased tourism revenues to local towns and counties.

2.2 Non-federal Land

Acquisition of the Emerald Mountain parcel is consistent with the current RMP, and this proposal offers a rare opportunity to accomplish many of the land tenure adjustments recommended in the 1989 RMP. Concurrently with the land exchange, the BLM Little Snake Office intends to prepare an RMP amendment addressing more specifically post-acquisition, multiple-use management of the Emerald Mountain parcel. Acquisition would consolidate federal land ownership for management efficiency; protect wildlife habitats, including riparian areas; maintain existing grazing use; provide a consolidated area for increased recreation opportunities in Routt County; and protect important open space near Steamboat Springs from subdivision and development. BLM anticipates that there will substantial cost savings in consolidating many of the fragmented federal lands in Routt County as well as increased management efficiency. BLM also plans to establish community partnerships to share costs of managing Emerald Mountain which should provide further cost savings.

3.0 PUBLIC BENEFITS

3.1 Proposed Disposal

A total of 121 federal parcels have been selected for exchange. All the selected federal lands are proposed to be conveyed to adjacent or surrounding private landowners. This is consistent with the stated objective of the RMP which calls for the BLM to increase its overall public land management efficiency and effectiveness. The Field Office does not believe that the exchange will significantly impact any natural resources, such as wildlife or plants.

Preliminary research indicates that of the 121 federal parcels, only 16 parcels are accessible from a public road: Parcels 16, 24, 30, 59, 68, 85, 90, 99, 104A, 110, 112, 117, 118, 119, 122, and 128. These parcels total 1,971.71 acres. Eleven of these parcels are approximately 40 acres or less in size, making them too small for significant public recreation. The five remaining parcels, Parcels 16, 24, 119, 122, and 128, total 1,646.81 acres.

Eighteen federal parcels, totaling 1,988.44 acres, are accessible to the public only by foot or horseback from adjacent larger blocks of BLM or National Forest System lands: Parcels 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 17, 20, 21, 22, 50, 78, 98, 107, 109, 114, 124, 125, and 131. Of these, nine parcels are approximately 40 acres or less in size. The remaining nine parcels total 1,702.40 acres. Many of these parcels are oddly-shaped and provide narrow access from the Routt National Forest. In comparison to the adjacent 1,000,000 acres available for public use and recreation on the Routt National Forest, these parcels provide limited benefit to the public and actually lead to conflicts between users of the public lands and the adjacent private landowners.

When compared to the 6,347 acres proposed for BLM acquisition and expected to be available for a variety of public recreation activities, the exchange will result in a net gain of over 2,300 acres of highly consolidated, publicly accessible land.

Proposed patentees of five of the federal parcels, Parcels 80, 85, 90, 105, and 109, totaling 731.77 acres, have offered to voluntarily donate perpetual conservation easements on the acquired properties following their conveyance to private ownership in order to protect scenic and wildlife habitat values.

3.2 Proposed Acquisition

If acquired, the offered land will provide BLM with a large contiguous block of federal land that should result in more efficient management and increased public access for dispersed recreation and hunting. Acquisition of the offered non-federal land will also protect the wildlife resources of the property.

The offered Emerald Mountain non-federal parcel contains riparian/wetland habitats and valuable wildlife habitat. According to the Colorado Division of Wildlife, the predominate land-cover classification of the offered Emerald Mountain parcel is upland shrub, aspen and shrub steppe. Species such as elk, mule deer, black bear, blue grouse, and Columbian sharp-tailed grouse are commonly associated with these habitat types. The Emerald Mountain parcel contains habitat classified as critical habitat for elk, including production areas, winter concentration areas, and severe winter range. It also has important Columbian sharp-tailed grouse habitat that includes brood rearing habitat and winter forage and provides greater sage grouse range and brood areas. The parcel provides greater sandhill crane foraging areas and raptor foraging and nesting. Finally, if acquired by BLM, the parcel would provide the public with watchable wildlife opportunities as well as exceptional hunting opportunities.

Upon acquisition, the offered non-federal land would be managed for multiple use as provided in a BLM management plan developed specifically for Emerald Mountain. Land use priorities will be established as part of the environmental assessment process but are likely to include wildlife habitat protection, grazing, and enhancement of recreation opportunities for the tourism-based economy in the Steamboat Springs area. Due to the parcel's resort community interface, BLM anticipates strong community interest in providing for various recreational uses. The exchange would meet the needs of the State of Colorado by providing the State Land Board the opportunity to acquire replacement property that it could manage more effectively to benefit the State School Lands Trust.

4.0 VALUE

The offered non-federal parcel, Emerald Mountain, was appraised for \$17.2 million by the SLB in 1999. In October 2002, the SLB and the EMP jointly funded a preliminary estimate of value of the property, now smaller and with a different configuration than in 1999 as a result of an SLB exchange that occurred in the interim period. The 2002 report estimated a value of approximately \$15.8 million. Neither of these value estimates were instructed or reviewed by BLM. All the parties agree that the property will have to be appraised according to federal standards.

The selected federal lands and the offered non-federal land will be appraised by a private contractor in accordance with federal standards, subject to final review and approval by a Department of the Interior Review Appraiser and by the Colorado State Board of Land Commissioners' appraisal staff. Although there are a few selected federal parcels that may have an equivalent or greater per acre value than the offered land, the majority of the federal lands do not have legal access and are located in rural parts of Routt County that do not have as robust a real estate market as the Steamboat Springs market area. BLM anticipates that the appraised per acre value of the majority of the federal lands may range from 20 percent to 75 percent of the per acre value of the Emerald Mountain parcel. For this reason, more acres of federal land have been identified for potential conveyance in order to obtain an equal value exchange.

If necessary to equalize exchange values, the BLM can acquire less than the entire 6,347-acre offered non-federal parcel. The current SLB grazing lessees of the Emerald Mountain parcel have expressed interest in acquiring all or portions of their allotments.

5.0 TITLE CONSIDERATIONS

5.1 Federal Lands

The selected federal lands have been surveyed and there are no additional cadastral survey requirements anticipated. The federal patents will be subject to the reservation to the United States of a right of way for ditches and canals pursuant to the Act of August 30, 1890. BLM will reserve minerals based on recommendations made in the mineral report. Valid, existing authorized uses on the selected federal lands are listed in Exhibit C, parcel by parcel. Federal patents would be issued subject to valid existing rights or agreement will be reached with the holders of these authorizations as to the appropriate disposition of the authorization. The need for reserving public access across any of the federal parcels will be identified as the exchange is processed.

5.2 Non-federal Land

The State Land Board will provide certification of encumbrances on the offered non-federal land. Known encumbrances are listed in Exhibit C and include various power line, road and communications rights-of-way, an oil and gas lease, and grazing leases.

6.0 POSSIBLE PROBLEMS OR CONFLICTS

The land exchange concept has been endorsed by a number of different organizations and entities including Governor Bill Owens, Routt County Commissioners, City of Steamboat Springs, Sierra Club, Routt County Riders, Steamboat Springs Nordic Council, Community Alliance, *The Denver Post*, and *The Steamboat Pilot*.

As noted above, the majority of the federal parcels lies mostly or completely within a single party's private property and do not have public access. The individuals participating in this proposed land exchange are adjacent and surrounding landowners and most hold the active grazing permits on the identified federal lands. However, some opposition has been expressed by individuals opposed to the conveyance of federal land-locked parcels to which they currently have access. These

individuals, organized as Citizens to Save Our Public Lands, oppose the inclusion of federal lands to which they are adjacent, as well as the conveyance of any parcels that have any form of public access, regardless of parcel size, shape, or manageability.

7.0 PROCESSING COSTS AND FUNDING

Most of the funding for the exchange will be provided by WLG's private clients. Of the estimated \$500,000 in costs associated with biological and cultural inventories and appraisals of the selected federal and offered non-federal lands, over \$450,000 will be paid by the group assembled by WLG. The SLB will share the costs of the appraisal of the offered non-federal land with the EMP. The SLB also will pay any costs of survey associated with the offered non-federal property.

7.1 Compensation of Costs

The SLB will acquire 111.60 acres of selected federal lands which will augment several SLB holdings in Routt County. The initial costs of the biological and cultural inventories and appraisal of the SLB-selected federal lands are estimated to be less than \$50,000. At closing, the BLM intends to compensate the SLB for these costs pursuant to the provisions of 43 CFR 2201.1-3. The amount that the SLB is to be compensated includes those costs associated with completing the biological and cultural inventories and appraisals necessary to assess the suitability of the federal parcels for disposal. This estimated amount currently appears to be reasonable and to accurately reflect the estimated value of the goods and services that BLM will receive through WLG and its contractors. The exchange is a high priority of the agency and would provide for the acquisition of significant resource values associated with the Emerald Mountain parcel, including diverse species habitat and outstanding scenic and recreational values. If the exchange is not expedited by BLM, the SLB has indicated that it intends to sell Emerald Mountain on the open market in order to generate funds for the State School Lands Trust.

Compensation of costs are being considered as the BLM Little Snake Field Office has no other practicable means available at this time to the to meet the federal exchange processing costs, responsibilities, or requirements, given its current funding and staffing capabilities. BLM expects to equalize the exchange as provided at 43 CFR 2201.6. Adjustment of relative values of the lands involved in the exchange as compensation for various costs would be much less than 25 percent of the estimated value of the federal lands being conveyed in the Emerald Mountain land exchange transaction.

8.0 PROPOSED SCHEDULE

<u>Step</u>	Completion Date	Responsible Party	Cost Es BLM	timates SLB/WLG
Feasibility Report/Draft ATI Preliminary Title Evidence Preliminary Title Opinion	October 2003 October 2003 November 2003	BLM/WLG SLB/WLG BLM/Sol	\$ 6,000 \$ 500	\$ 500
WO Feasibility Review Notice of Exchange Proposal	November 2003 December 2003	BLM BLM/WLG	\$ 100	\$ 1,000

				Cost Estimates
<u>Step</u>	Completion Date	Responsible Party	BLM	SLB/WLG
Cultural Resources Inventory	July - September 2003	WLG (Federal)		\$172,000
Cultural Resources Inventory	July - September 2003	BLM (Offered)	\$ 1,000	
Hazardous Materials Survey	July - September 2003	BLM	\$ 1,250	
CERCLA Report	July - September 2003	BLM	\$ 1,250	
Biological Assessment	July - September 2003	WLG		\$107,000
Mineral Reports	February 2004	BLM	\$ 5,000	
Survey, If Required	April - July 2004	SLB		
Appraisal Preparation	June - August 2004	WLG		\$158,000
Appraisal Review	August - October 2004	DOI Staff	\$ 15,000	
Environmental Assessment	October 2004	BLM/WLG	\$ 5,000	\$ 75,000
WO Approval to Proceed	November 2004	BLM		
Notice of Decision Publication	January 2005	BLM		\$ 1,000
State Title Certification/Policy	March 2005	WLG		\$ 500
Preparation of Patents	April 2005	BLM	\$ 1,500	
Final Title Opinion	April 2005	BLM/Sol.	\$ 750	
Closing	April 2005	BLM/WLG	\$ 1,000	\$ 10,000
Total Estimated Costs	-		\$ 38,500	\$525,000

BLM's share of costs of processing the exchange will be provided from a number of benefiting subactivities, including 1430, 1210, 1220, 1110, 1150, and 1310. Processing is expected to proceed without significant delays. BLM, the State Land Board, EMP, and WLG anticipate completing the exchange by April 2005.

The federal lands proposed for this exchange have been segregated for five years. A Notice of Exchange Proposal will be published upon approval of the feasibility analysis, draft Agreement to Initiate, and draft Notice of Exchange Proposal.

9.0 RECOMMENDATION

The proposed exchange appears to be in the public interest. Funding will be provided by the private participants and by the Colorado State Land Board. Disposal of the selected federal lands and acquisition of the offered non-federal land will improve the management efficiency of public land resources in Routt County, provide greater public access to recreational lands, and result in protection of important wildlife habitat. It is our recommendation to proceed.

RECOMMENDED BY:	Date ///3/03	
John Husband, Little Snake Field Manager	7/	-
APPROVED: Ron Wenker, Colorado State Director	Date 12/9/03	_

EXHIBIT A

OFFERED NON-FEDERAL LAND

Emerald Mountain Parcel

Township 6 North, Range 85 West, 6th P.M., Colorado	
section 13, portion of the SE ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄ ;	\pm 34 acres
section 15, SE ¹ / ₄ , S ¹ / ₂ NE ¹ / ₄ and S ¹ / ₂ SW ¹ / ₄ ;	320 acres
section 21, SE ¹ / ₄ , portion of the S ¹ / ₂ NE ¹ / ₄ and that part of the NW ¹ / ₄ NE ¹ / ₄ lying	
east of County Road 33 and west of County Road 45;	\pm 233 acres
section 22, all;	640 acres
section 23, all;	640 acres
section 24, NE ¹ / ₄ NE ¹ / ₄ , W ¹ / ₂ NE ¹ / ₄ , W ¹ / ₂ , W ¹ / ₂ SE ¹ / ₄ , and SE ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄ ;	560 acres
section 25, all;	640 acres
section 26, all;	640 acres
section 27, all;	640 acres
section 28, E½;	320 acres
section 33, $E\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$ and $S\frac{1}{2}$;	400 acres
section 34, all;	640 acres
section 35, all,	640 acres

containing 6,347 acres, more or less.

Routt County, Colorado

Parcel No.	Legal Desc	ription	Acres	Section Acres	Parcel Acres	Participant
1	T. 12 N., R. 88 W., sec. 13,	lot 7	41.24	41.24		
1	sec. 24,		38.64	38.64	79.88	Sparks
	500. 21,	101 1	30.01	30.01	77.00	Бритк 5
2	T. 12 N., R. 88 W., sec. 14,	lot 5	8.06			
_	1. 12 1, 10. 00, 500. 1 .,	lot 6	17.44			
		lot 9	27.64			
		lot 10	39.20			
		lot 11	12.70			
		lot 12	12.77			
		lot 13	27.92	145.73		
	sec 23	Tract 41, lot 1	1.77	1.77	147.50	Marsh
	Sec. 23,	11401 41, 101 1	1.//	1.//	147.30	iviaisii
3	T. 12 N., R. 88 W., sec. 20,	SE ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00	40.00	Stull Ranches
4	T. 12 N., R. 88 W., sec. 21,	lot 1	17.25	17.25	17.25	Stull Ranches
5	T. 12 N., R. 88 W., sec. 23,	SE1/4SW1/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	Marsh
6	T. 12 N., R. 88 W., sec. 26,	N½SE¼	80.00			
Ü	1. 12 1, 11. 00, 500. 20,	SW ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄	40.00	120.00	120.00	Sheep Mtn Partnership
						r or r
8	T. 12 N., R. 87 W., sec. 19,	lot 6	2.87			
		lot 7	16.60	19.47	19.47	Sheep Mtn Partnership
9	T. 12 N., R. 87 W., sec. 20,	lot 3	6.02			
		lot 4	4.96	10.98	10.98	Sheep Mtn Partnership
11	T. 10 N., R. 86 W., sec. 23,		80.00			
		SW ¹ / ₄ NE ¹ / ₄	40.00	120.00	120.00	Fetcher
12	T. 10 N., R. 86 W., sec. 36,	SW1/3F1/	40.00	40.00	40.00	Hill
12	1. 10 N., R. 60 W., Sec. 30,	S W /4SE/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	11111
13	T. 10 N., R. 85 W., sec. 20,	lot 15	39.07			
		lot 18	9.02	48.09	48.09	Woods
14	T. 10 N., R. 85 W., sec. 19,	lot 17	0.27	0.27		
	sec. 20,	lot 16	15.40			
		lot 17	2.34			
		lot 20	4.67			
		lot 22	0.63			
		Tract 46A	0.78	23.82	24.09	State Land Board
15	T. 10 N., R. 85 W., sec. 26,	lot 19	4.87	4.87	4.87	Ross
16	T. 8 N., R. 88 W., sec. 6,	lot 9	39.79			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	lot 10	39.70			
		lot 11	38.86			
		lot 12	39.06			
		lot 13	39.40			
		lot 17	39.37			
		lot 18	39.66	275.84		
	sec. 7,	Tract 70B	39.63	39.63		

Parcel No.	L	egal Desc	escription Acres		Section Acres	Parcel Acres	Participant	
16	T. 9 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 31.	lot 5	31.69				
(Cont.)	, ,	,	lot 6	32.60				
,			lot 7	33.51				
			lot 8	19.20				
			lot 9	4.13				
			lot 10	3.53				
			lot 11	7.39				
			lot 12	24.33				
			lot 13	32.10				
			lot 14	32.57				
			lot 15	16.83	237.88			
		sec. 32,		35.72				
		ŕ	lot 3	20.45				
			lot 8	24.46				
			$E^{1/2}NW^{1/4}$	80.00	160.63			
	T. 8 N., R. 89 W.,	sec. 12,		40.39				
			lot 2	40.39				
			lot 7	40.13				
			lot 8	40.13	161.04	875.02	Rancho Greco/ Nottingham	
17	T. 9 N., R. 88 W.,	sec 33	lot 2	6.50				
-,	,	,	lot 3	8.31				
			lot 4	8.31	23.12	23.12	Nottingham	
18	T. 8 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 4	lot 6	15.09				
10	1. 0 11., 12. 00 11.,		lot 5	3.81	18.90			
	T. 9 N., R. 88 W.,			21.43	21.43	40.33	Nottingham	
	1. 7 1., 12. 00 11.,	500.55,		215	21.15	.0.00	1 to wing in the	
19	T. 9 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 33,	lot 7	40.00	40.00	40.00	Nottingham	
20	T. 9 N. R. 88 W.,	sec. 35,	lot 1	8.47				
			lot 3	31.24				
			lot 7	8.19	47.90	47.90	Nottingham	
21	T. 9 N. R. 86 W.,	sec. 1,	lot 9	3.87				
	,	Ź	lot 10	9.05	12.92	12.92	Wheatley/King/Allen	
22	T. 9 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 34,	NW ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00	40.00	Chew	
23	T. 9 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 35,	lot 1	44.77	44.77	44.77	Chew	
24	T. 8 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 7.	lot 9	39.41				
		,	lot 11	40.02				
			lot 12	39.57				
			lot 13	39.53				
			lot 14	39.47	198.00			
		sec. 8,	lot 2	26.17				
		ŕ	lot 4	35.37				
			lot 5	18.48				
			lot 10	30.66				
			lot 11	39.31	149.99			
	T. 8 N., R. 89 W.,	sec. 12,		40.31	40.31	388.30	Murphy/Nottingham	

Parcel No.	L	egal Desc	cription	Acres	Section Acres	Parcel Acres	Participant
25	TON DOOM	soo 2	lot 15	38.98			
23	T. 8 N., R. 88 W.,	Sec. 2,	lot 16	38.98	77.96	77.96	Spitzley
26	T. 8 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 4,	lot 5	22.91			
	,	,	lot 10	10.63			
			lot 11	6.47	40.01	40.01	Murphy/Nottingham
27	T. 8 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 4,	lot 7	4.95			
			lot 8	24.00			
			lot 9	19.29	48.24	48.24	Nottingham
28	T. 8 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 4,	lot 12	16.10	16.10		
		sec. 5,	lot 11	4.18			
			lot 12	16.31			
			lot 16	15.50			
			lot 17	22.36	58.35	74.45	Murphy/Nottingham
29	T. 8 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 8,	Tract 43B	40.00	40.00	40.00	Murphy
30	T. 8 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 18,	lot 8	18.66	18.66	18.66	Stirling
31	T. 8 N., R. 88 W.,	sec 10	lot 5	18.19	18.19		
31	1. 0 IV., IX. 00 W.,	sec. 19,		18.00	18.00	36.19	Cook
32	T. 8 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 19,	Tract 74	39.08	39.08	39.08	Nottingham
33	T. 8 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 30,	Tract 82G	39.66			
			Tract 82H	39.68			
			Tract 82I	39.66			
			Tract 82J	39.64			
			Tract 82O	39.62			
			Tract 82P	39.64	237.90		
		sec. 31,	Tract 83A	39.67			
			Tract 83B	39.64			
			Tract 83G	39.70			
			Tract 83H	39.73			
			Tract 83I	39.79			
			Tract 83J	39.76			
			Tract 83K	39.73			
			Tract 83L	36.87			
			Tract 83P	39.85	354.74	592.64	Nottingham
37	T. 8 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 19,	Tract 92, lot 9	24.84			
			Tract 92, lot 10	38.84			
			Tract 92, lot 11	36.24			
			Tract 92, lot 12	38.40			
			Tract 92, lot 13	7.86			
			Tract 92, lot 14	23.15			
			Tract 92, lot 15	11.91	181.24		
		sec. 30,	lot 5	12.64			
			lot 6	21.42			
			lot 7	6.64	40.70		
	T. 8 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 24,	NE ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00	261.94	Mystic Hill Ranch

Page 3 of 10

Parcel No.	I	egal Desc	rintion	Acres	Section Acres	Parcel Acres	Participant
110.	L	cgai Desc	прион	reres	Acres	Ticics	1 ar ticipant
38	T. 8 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 25,	$N^{1}/_{2}NW^{1}/_{4}$	80.00			
			SE1/4NW1/4	40.00	120.00	120.00	Mystic Hill Ranch
39	T. 8 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 25,	NW ¹ / ₄ SW ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00	40.00	Smith Rancho
40	T. 7 N., R. 87 W.,	gaa 2	lot 2	40.85			
40	1. / IN., N. 0/ W.,	sec. 3,	lot 3		91.40		
		1	lot 4	40.55	81.40		
		sec. 4,	lot 1	40.46	01.04		
	TON DOTW	22	lot 2	40.58	81.04	222.44	C
	T. 8 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 33,	SE'/4	160.00	160.00	322.44	Smith Rancho
41	T. 8 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 2,	lot 5	49.70			
			lot 6	49.00	98.70	98.70	Harvey
42	T. 8 N., R. 86 W.,	sec 4	lot 12	32.84			
	1.01., 100,	.,	lot 13	22.36	55.20		
		sec. 5,	lot 5	37.04	22.20		
		500.0,	lot 6	45.40			
			lot 7	42.08			
			lot 8	39.99	164.51		
		sec. 7,	Tract 61B	40.40			
		,	Tract 61C	40.38			
			Tract 64B	40.29			
			Tract 64C	40.26			
			lot 5	32.87	194.20		
		sec. 8,	Tract 61A	40.44			
		,	Tract 64A	40.23			
			lot 1	43.78			
			lot 2	44.66			
			lot 3	30.87			
			lot 4	19.34			
			lot 5	21.20			
			lot 6	29.79			
			lot 7	44.85			
			lot 8	44.94			
			lot 9	31.58			
			$N\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$	80.00	471.68		
		sec. 9,	lot 3	22.25			
			lot 4	10.74	32.99		
		sec. 17,	lot 1	25.10			
			lot 2	40.12			
			lot 3	33.88			
			lot 4	21.02			
			lot 5	20.00			
			lot 6	12.08	152.20	1,070.78	Poole/Nottingham/ Meadows Realty
43	T. 8 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 10,	lot 6	11.58	11.58	11.58	Guthrie
44	T. 8 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 15,	lot 5	7.56	7.56	7.56	Poole

Parcel No.	L	egal Desc	cription	Acres	Section Acres	Parcel Acres	Participant
45	T. 8 N., R. 86 W.,	sec 26	lot 1	14.80	14.80		
43	1. 6 IV., K. 60 W.,	sec. 20, sec. 27,		6.60	6.60	21.40	Meadows Realty
46	T. 8 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 27,	lot 1	39.84	39.84	39.84	Meadows Realty
47	T. 8 N., R. 85 W.,	sec 5	lot 5	44.09			
.,	1. 0 11., 10. 05 11.,	500. 5,	lot 6	44.64			
			lot 7	45.28			
			lot 8	45.92	179.93		
		sec. 6,	lot 8	39.36	1,7.75		
		500. 0,	lot 9	38.87			
			lot 10	38.38			
			lot 11	24.28			
			lot 12	25.69			
			lot 13	25.79			
			lot 14	25.35			
			lot 15	39.16			
			lot 16	39.90			
			S½NE¼	80.00			
			SE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄	40.00			
			NE ¹ / ₄ SW ¹ / ₄	40.00			
			N½SE¼	80.00			
			SE ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄	40.00	576.78	756.71	Chew
48	T. 8 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 7,	lot 7	8.06	8.06	8.06	Chew
49	T. 8 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 7,	lot 11	8.65	8.65	8.65	Komfala
50	T. 8 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 9	lot 1	33.95			
	,		lot 2	41.01			
			lot 3	35.61			
			lot 4	35.71			
			NE ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄	40.00			
			S½SE¼	80.00	266.28		
		sec. 16	lot 1	0.28			
			lot 2	0.31			
			lot 3	0.30	0.89	267.17	Souders/Riskind/Taylor
51	T. 8 N., R. 85 W.,	sec 16.	lot 4	3.80			
	,,	,	lot 5	3.71	7.51	7.51	State Land Board
52	T. 7 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 2,	SE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00	40.00	State Land Board
54	T. 7 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 6,	SW1/4NE1/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	Nottingham
55	T. 7 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 6,	lot 5	35.12	35.12	35.12	Nottingham
56	T. 7 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 17,	NE ¹ / ₄ SW ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00	40.00	Nottingham
57	T. 7 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 20,	SW1/4NE1/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	Nottingham

Parcel No.	L	egal Desc	ription	Acres	Section Acres	Parcel Acres	Participant
58	T. 7 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 4.	NW1/4SE1/4	40.00			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	S½SE¼	80.00	120.00		
		sec. 9,	NE ¹ / ₄ NE ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00		
			N½NE¼	80.00			
		,	N ¹ / ₂ NW ¹ / ₄	80.00			
			SW1/4NW1/4	40.00	200.00		
		sec. 11,		39.57			
		,	lot 3	39.52			
			lot 4	39.46			
			lot 5	39.42			
			lot 6	39.47			
			lot 7	39.53	236.97	596.97	Smith Rancho/Waltrip
59	T. 7 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 18,	lot 6	24.29	24.29		
	T. 7 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 13,	lot 1	15.74	15.74	40.03	Waltrip
60	T. 7 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 13,	lot 2	9.72			
			lot 3	29.84			
			lot 4	23.15	62.71	62.71	Waltrip
61	T. 7 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 18,		40.00			
			SE1/4NW1/4	40.00			
			NE ¹ / ₄ SW ¹ / ₄	40.00			
			NW ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄	40.00	160.00	160.00	Smith Rancho
62	T. 7 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 23,		16.93			
			lot 4	8.54			
			lot 6	10.31			
			lot 8	30.16			
			lot 9	39.21			
			lot 10	29.33	162.56		
		2.4	lot 13	28.08	162.56	170.76	XX7 14 *
		sec. 24,	lot 4	8.20	8.20	170.76	Waltrip
63	T. 7 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 25,	lot 15	39.27	39.27	39.27	Waltrip
64	T. 7 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 33,	NE ¹ / ₄ SW ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00	40.00	Waltrip
65	T. 7 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 6,	lot 8	40.30	40.30	40.30	Smith Rancho
66	T. 7 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 6,	Tract 68	160.00	160.00		
	, ,	sec. 7,	lot 6	26.18	26.18	186.18	Smith Rancho
67	T. 7 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 8,	lot 1	7.48	7.48	7.48	Waltrip
68	T. 7 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 3.	lot 10	0.89	0.89		
	,,,	sec. 10,		7.80	7.80	8.69	Sherrod
69	T. 7 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 16,	lot 1	7.48			
			lot 2	7.48			
			lot 3	7.48			
			lot 4	7.48	29.92		

Parcel No.	L	egal Desc	ription	Acres	Section Acres	Parcel Acres	Participant
69	T. 7 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 17,	lot 7	7.49			
(Cont.)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	SE¼	160.00	167.49		
(,		sec. 20,		160.00	160.00		
		sec. 21,		320.00	320.00		
		sec. 22,		7.50			
		,	lot 2	7.49			
			lot 3	7.51			
			lot 4	40.12			
			lot 5	40.12			
			lot 6	32.41			
			$S^{1/2}NW^{1/4}$	80.00			
			$N^{1}/_{2}SW^{1}/_{4}$	80.00	295.15	972.56	Waltrip
70	T. 7 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 18,	lot 10	6.55	6.55	6.55	Waltrip
71	T. 7 N., R. 86 W.,	sec 20	SE1/4SW1/4	40.00	40.00		
, 1	1. / 11., 11. 00 11.,	,	E½NW¼	80.00	80.00	120.00	Utterback
		500. 27,	11/21/11/4				
73	T. 6 N., R. 89 W.,	sec. 23,	lot 12	41.86	41.86	41.86	Frentress
76	T. 6 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 33,	SW1/4SW1/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	State Land Board
77	T. 6 N., R. 86 W.,	sec 35	NW1/4NE1/4	40.00			
.,	1. 0 1, 11. 00,	300. 50,	N½NE¼	80.00	120.00	120.00	Iacovetto
78	T. 6 N., R. 84 W.,	sec. 10,	SE ¹ / ₄ NE ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00	40.00	Solo
80	T. 5 N., R. 87 W.,	sec 19	W ¹ / ₂ NW ¹ / ₄	80.00	80.00		
	T. 5 N., R. 88 W.,			80.00	80.00	160.00	Ricks
81	T. 5 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 35,	lot 4	40.00	40.00	40.00	Ricks
82	T. 4 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 7,	lot 2	8.59			
	, ,	,	lot 3	7.05			
			lot 4	5.08			
			lot 5	36.40	57.12		
	T. 5 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 36,	lot 9	33.87			
			lot 10	35.58			
			lot 11	37.28			
			lot 12	38.99	145.72	202.84	Cross Mountain
84	T. 5 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 29,	$W^{1/2}NW^{1/4}$	80.00	80.00		
		sec. 30,	$E\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$	80.00	80.00	160.00	Patrick
85	T. 5 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 30,	NW¹/4NW¹/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	Ricks
87	T. 5 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 32,	lot 10	29.13	29.13	29.13	Fisher
88	T. 5 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 33,	E½NW¼	80.00	80.00	80.00	Montieth
89	T. 5 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 6.	lot 12	1.88			
	- ··, · ·- ·,	,	lot 13	7.59	9.47	9.47	Ludlow

Parcel No.	L	egal Desc	cription	Acres	Section Acres	Parcel Acres	Participant
90	T. 5 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 11,	lot 1	26.06	26.06	26.06	Roundtree
91	T. 4 N., R. 89 W.,	sec. 11,	SW1/4	160.00	160.00	160.00	Wyman
93	T. 4 N., R. 88 W.,		SW ¹ / ₄ NE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄	160.00 40.00	160.00 40.00	200.00	Cross Mountain
94	T. 4 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 14,	NE½ S½NW¼ SW¼	160.00 80.00 160.00	400.00		
		sec. 23,	NW ¹ / ₄ NE ¹ / ₄ NE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄	40.00 40.00	80.00	480.00	Cross Mountain
95	T. 4 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 24,	SW1/4NE1/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	Cross Mountain
96	T. 4 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 25,	SE1/4SW1/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	Cross Mountain
97	T. 4 N., R. 88 W.,		$W^{1/2}$	40.00 320.00	360.00		
		sec. 35,	N ¹ / ₂ NW ¹ / ₄	80.00	80.00	440.00	Cross Mountain
98	T. 4 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 35,	E½E½ SW¼SE¼	160.00 40.00	200.00	200.00	Cross Mountain
99	T. 4 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 17,	NE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00	40.00	Cross Mountain
101	T. 4 N., R. 87 W.,		E½SE¼ SW¼SW¼	40.00 80.00 40.00	40.00		
		sec. 15,	SW1/4SE1/4	80.00 160.00 40.00	200.00		
		sec. 23,	NW ¹ / ₄ NE ¹ / ₄ S ¹ / ₂ NE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄	40.00 80.00 160.00			
			S½	320.00	600.00	1,040.00	Hunter/Cross Mountain
102	T. 4 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 9,	lot 3	46.46	46.46	46.46	Hunter
104	T. 4 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 17,	SE1/4SW1/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	Hunter
104A	T. 4 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 33,	$SW^{1}/_{4}NW^{1}/_{4}$	40.00	40.00	40.00	Brusca
104B	T. 4 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 32,	NE ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00	40.00	Boehm
104C	T. 4 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 32,	SW ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00	40.00	Boehm
104D	T. 4 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 28,	NE ¹ / ₄ SW ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00	40.00	Monroe
105	T. 4 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 11, sec. 14,		39.75 40.94	39.75 40.94	80.69	Jones
106	T. 3 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 5,	SE1/4NW1/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	Cosby Page 8 of 10

Page 8 of 10

Parcel No.	L	egal Desc	ription	Acres	Section Acres	Parcel Acres	Participant
107	T. 3 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 6	lot 6	39.58			
107	1.51., 10.00,	500. 0,	lot 7	39.56	79.14		
		sec. 7,	lot 8	41.81	77.11		
		500. 7,	SW1/4NW1/4	40.00			
			SW ¹ / ₄	160.00	241.81	320.95	Cross Mountain
			~, .		_,_,_		
108	T. 3 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 6,	NE ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00	40.00	Cosby
109	T. 3 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 8,	SW1/4SW1/4	40.00			
			SE1/4SE1/4	40.00	80.00		
		sec. 17,	lot 1	47.21			
			lot 2	42.53			
			lot 3	42.25			
			lot 4	41.62			
			lot 5	45.32			
			lot 6	46.09			
			SW1/4NE1/4	40.00			
			$SE^{1}\!/_{\!4}NW^{1}\!/_{\!4}$	40.00	345.02	425.02	Cosby
110	T. 3 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 9,	NE ¹ / ₄ SW ¹ / ₄	40.00	40.00	40.00	Omlid
111	T. 3 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 9,	SW1/4SE1/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	Omlid
112	T. 3 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 16,	SE1/4NE1/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	Signs
113	T. 3 N., R. 88 W.,	sec. 16,	SW1/4SW1/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	Cosby
114	T. 3 N., R. 87 W.,	sec. 1,	SW1/4SW1/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	Hunter
115	T. 3 N., R. 85 W.	sec. 18,	lot 9	33.27			
	,	ĺ	lot 16	35.12	68.39		
	T. 3 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 13,	lot 9	43.25			
	,	ĺ	lot 15	42.81			
			lot 16	43.13			
			lot 17	21.49			
			lot 19	10.72	161.40	229.79	Wertenteil
116	T. 3 N., R. 86 W.,	sec 1/1	lot 13	41.98			
110	1. 3 IV., IX. 60 W.,	300. 14,	lot 14	41.98	83.96		
		sec. 15,		10.50	65.90		
		Sec. 13,		41.92	52.42	136.38	Pinnacle Peak
			lot 19	41.92	52.42	130.38	Pililiacie Peak
117	T. 3 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 15,	lot 12	10.48	10.48	10.48	Pinnacle Peak
118	T. 3 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 15.	lot 15	10.55	10.55		
	,	sec. 16,		10.43	10.43	20.98	Pinnacle Peak
118A	T. 3 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 26,	lot 2	31.26	31.26	31.26	Viele
119	T. 3 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 27		41.65			
			lot 2	41.68	83.33	83.33	Pinnacle Peak

Parcel No.	Le	egal Desc	cription	Acres	Section Acres	Parcel Acres	Participant
120	T. 3 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 10,	lot 12	43.21	43.21	43.21	Crawford
121	T. 3 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 17,	lot 4	41.33	41.33	41.33	Craig
121A	T. 3 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 19,	lot 13 lot 14	41.78 41.73	83.51	83.51	Wertenteil
122	T. 2 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 4,	lot 1 lot 2 S½NE¼	38.68 38.69 80.00	157.37		
	T. 3 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 33,		41.69	41.69	199.06	Herold
123	T. 2 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 11,	N½SW¼SE¼NE¼ SW¼SW¼SE¼NE¼	5.00 2.50	7.50	7.50	Broken Bone Ranch
124	T. 2 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 11,	N½NW¼NW¼SE¼ SW¼NW¼NW¼NW¼SE¼ NW¼SW¼NW¼NW¼SE¼	5.00 2.50 2.50	10.00	10.00	Broken Bone Ranch
125	T. 2 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 11,	SW1/4SE1/4	40.00	40.00	40.00	Broken Bone Ranch
126	T. 2 N., R. 86 W.,	sec. 12,	SE½NE½SE½NW½ E½SE½SE½NW¼ NW¼SE¼SE¼NW¼	2.50 5.00 2.50	10.00	10.00	Broken Bone Ranch
128	T. 2 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 7,	lot 1 lot 2 lot 3	33.50 33.70 33.90	101.10	101.10	Broken Bone Ranch
129	T. 2 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 9,	$W^{1/2}SW^{1/4}$	80.00	80.00	80.00	R&T Land & Cattle
130	T. 2 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 23,	E½NE¼	80.00	80.00	80.00	Brooks
131	T. 1 N., R. 85 W.,	sec. 7,	lot 1 lot 2 NE½NW¼ SE¼NW¼ Total Acres	36.52 36.75 40.00 40.00	153.27 15,224.36	153.27 15,224.36	Krausgrill/Horowitz

Parcel Summary

Parcel Numbers	131
Parcels Added (Lettered)	6
Parcels Dropped	16
Total Parcels Remaining	
In Land Exchange	121

EXHIBIT C DETAILED PARCEL DESCRIPTIONS OF OFFERED NON-FEDERAL LAND AND SELECTED FEDERAL LANDS

These descriptions are provided by township and range in the Feasibility Report Notebook (q.v.) or online at http://www.co.blm.gov/lsra/emerald_mtn/em.htm.

Links to the BLM Master Title Plat & Routt County Plat Information are available off the Detailed Maps/Information pages.